•	Case 4:08-cv-04153-WDB Document 1 Filed 09/02/2008 Page 1 of 15 LUE DEL CASTEUR Pro SE Name and Address 11.2						
1	EUE DEL CASTELLO, PROSE Name and Address 865 SAN JOSE MU, #2						
3	865 SAN JOSE VAUS, To filling						
4	- JANO 1 19110 - 19						
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WDB						
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
7	CV 08 4153						
8 9	EVE DEL CASTELLO						
10	Plaintiff / Petitioner) Document Name: COMPLAIM						
11							
12	VS. DEMAND THE ABUSE OF THE DIDUCTION,						
13	Superior Cours - Alaron Coursy) MED illegal Cours Procespans						
14	Defendant / Respondent) PROPARTY RELIEF FOR PLANTIFF.						
15 16							
17	Complaint BECOMISE IT ARISES UNDER THE LAWS OF THE U.S.						
18	THE DEFENDANT IS IN THIS DISTINCT AND ALL OF THE VIOLATIONS						
19	awing Rise to THIS LAWSHIT OCCURRED IN THIS DISTRICE.						
20	3. INTHADISTAICT ASSIGNMENT: THIS LAWSHIT SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION OF THIS COURT BECAUSE ASSIGNED TO THE SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION OF THIS LAWSHIT						
21	All of THE SIGNATURE (DUNAN CONFLIAND).						
22 23	4. PLAINIPPE END DEL CASTULIO REMAINS VICTIMIZED BY THE						
24	4. PLAINTIFF END DEL CASTELLO NEMANOS U ICTÉMIZED BY THE PRINSE OF OUDICIAL DISCRETION AS WELL AS DEING DENIED COMMEN NIGHTS OF DUE PROLESS. DEC. 14030851 (Alper I was						
25	Therman to pay tok and the fact that the fact that						
26	MISCONDUCT, AS A RUSULT OF MISINTURNITING COMPUTER ALBBONES HENCEFORTH I WYPH A SEATERY - THE PRITIAL STOP						
27	Miscorphics Hencerophy I wash & separent - the friend stop (Approx ho großenbruchusen - the Shownern part is not he corespand.						
28	Page 1 oc 6						
	rage of						

V. Superior Cour-Alameor County

6

9

10 11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33

39 40

41 42 43

44

45 46 Momonthum of Points of Auryonines

(7.) contidues... However, I was sent a notice mailed 5-31-06 saying it my Fine" was not received BY 6-12-06 - I would BE SUBJECTED TO AN YADDITIONAL ASSESSMEN OF \$150 + IT MAY RESULT IN A DRIVER'S LICENSE SUSPERSION BY DMI! AND - "THE INCREASED ASSESSMENT WILL BE IMPOSED AS A CIVIL JUNGMENT PUNSUAND TO 1214.1 OF THE PENAL CODE." I SEM THE \$60 - BECOMES I DID NOT INFITHING HAVE TIME TO APPEAL + (I WAS QUENWARLING WITH papermont involving qualitying for 5th congression printing + most involving AN ABSolutely illight panting tockets, ultimorry Dismissed in S.F. inuding my orsolure importance with withers where I WES PARKED & THE PACT THAT THEY DID NOT HAVE A RIGHT TO TAKER OF PICOUNE OF MY CICERSE PLATE + suggest such Accusation. I oir quality RON THE BALLOS & MY OPPONENT Broke REDEAD LOW)1214.1 OF THE PEUM COOK NEGARDS CIVIL ASSESMENT FOR PATLWINE TO APPEAR, COLLEGION - I DID APPEAR.

8. MERCH ACTIONS A HEADING FOR COKNECTION OF THE SUPPLY THE LIELD OF TO A COPY OF THE THE THE THE PROPERTY INVOLVED THE CITATION 13923145 THATFIC DOCKET AND MIPHTHS INVOLVED THE CITATION 13923145 DOC DOI 39 23141 THAT WAS DISMISSED IN HENDRICKSON COURT IGNORING ANTICLE IT, SECT 15, G.I. PRIVILEGE AGRINST SULF -

· Page 3 9 6

DEL Charles E. Alphro Court Tried 09/02/2008 Page 4 of 15 Case 4:08-cy-04153-WDB Document 17 Filed 09/02/2008 Page 4 of 15 Memo ur (8.) cough wurb. ODIMS & Authorities FUCHIMENEDION 122. AND Amonomous I - U.S. COUSTITUTION MUOR SHALL BE COMPELLED IN ANY COLIMINAL CASE TO BE A WHUSS rigarist Himself THE DOCUMENT BOLL WAS STOMPED "DEFENDENT IS PRESENT + OFFICER DID NOT APPEAR. CASE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF 10 PROSECUTIONS. IN THIS STATE, WE PLEMANN 11 12 invocurr until prover guilty. Ther's AN 13 illigat/conconstitucional statement - (Ack or Prosportor 15 16 17 A MEMORIAN UT -U.S. CONSTRUTION - THE ACCUSED 18 19 SHALL ENJOY THE RIGHT TO A SPEEDY + PUBLIC THIPL 20 21 NEGROOMY THE LABOURT M COMMECTER OR JUDGETENT -23 I DIS NOT ENJOY THE CLERK'S MATINISTE HON 25 work or knowlegg. August 28; 2006 - April 7, 2007. 26 27 Thips to Plopspyror Superion. I RECEIVED 28 29 SOMETHING Dated 10-11-07 STATING MOTION DENKE 30 31 posted Bail OF \$ 600 NEMBERS ROLETTON.... 32 33 WHICH EXPLAINED "LABOWITZ" EXHORY ; METAL 34 count procesours + jupicial misconduct OR 35 37 TUDGE HOWDNICKSON. THIS CASE IS BASED ON 38 39 \$163075 DEDIED FOR REVIEW 6-11-08. 40 10. Anomonon UI - Also, THAN TO STANA CINE FOR 2 41 HOURS SKUKRET TIMES TO ACHIEVE INITIAL HERMINGS. 42 43 44 45 8-29-08 46 (Koun) Page \$ of 6

EUE DEL GASTILLO

Superven County

Alamena County

Proyer For Relier

Appresprier relier risquestes.

FULTIMEN JUDICIAL MY SCONDUCT CONTINUES BECAUSE

THIS "PMONLOI)" EXTSTS IN A COMPUTUR

MIST NICHPRETION OF AND "TREND", NOT LAW

IN CITATIONS BETTY ASSESSED - 3 MORE OVERSTANDING

CASES IN THE 1ST APPELLATE IN S.F., CA.

THAT CASE POES NEED TO BE RETIMBURSED FOR

MORE THAN FRAUELING + HOURS SPENT THAT

WOULD ITALE BEEN UNDECESSIONS HOW THEIR

BEEN APPROPRIATE JUDICIAL & COURT PROCEEDINGS.

EVE DEL CASTELO

8-29-08 Date

Page \$ 9 6

	Case 4:08-cy-04153-WDB Document 1 Filed 09/02/2008 Page 6 of 15
ر	V. Superior Court - 17 ymore Courts
1	DECLARATION OF
2	IN Suppose OF Congluer
4	IN Support OF Conglant
5	
7	I IDENTIFY THE POLLOWING ATTACHMENTS AS
8	True AND CORRECT COPIES OF:
10	The Hop contract contract
11	LACOTE DE DISTERIA SE LIVERE ANDIO
12 13	PLEASANTON 5-11-06 = EXHIBITS DNE : AND FWO.
14	PLATES AD TO SELECT TO SEL
15 16	-Copy or 27315 (N) 2 EXHIBIT 3
17	
18 19	S'ELE EYHOBIT 2 WHU I Chegal wording
20	when of Prosecution " Stc. *
21 22	- Copy of CHATTON MAILED = EXHIBIT (3 1/2)
23	COON OF DENIED 1000
24 25	- COPY OF BENTAL LABORITZ 10-11-07 (BX. 4)
26	- COPH OF EXCUSION SURPRIS CONT
27 28	- Copy of Excenses Support Court FXE
29	CASE # \$ 163075, 8952-4
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39 40	
41	I HENERY DECLARE UNDER PERALTY OF
42 43	purjuly that the reonegoing is their mus contour
44	
45 46	Executed on Higuest 24, 2008. En Delartelle
. •	BUE DEL CASTRO
	Page 6 26 6

Document 1	OUTSTANDING AMOUNT /10.00 ADJ. CLK. () CHARTER OF THE CONTROLL OF THE CONTROLL OF THE CALENDAR PAGE	0035 PRIOR DEPT: A /F2 11/24/04 C38193TR C DISP FS 35-01 08/25/04 V27315D M 6/00 DISM (S)E- WARR ASSMT 4.00 TOTAL 10.00	NC38193TR C DELCASTELLO EVELYN B SETTLEMENT OF STATEMENT ON AP; FS\$10.00 BY 1/24/05 ON APPEAL ON APPEAL	PAGE 15 3AP ON APPEAL DOCKET NO. DEFENDANT ***********************************	TUDGE
	GE 15	D	320	E M E N T	AMA /05

Case 4:08-cv-04153-WDB

5672 STONERIDGE DRIVE, PLEASANTON CA 94588 SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITING AGENCY: 04 DEPT. 702 DOCKET NUMBER 0013923141 CAL DATE 11/02/05 CITATION NUMBER 92872WA HENDRICKSON 06/04/05 JUDGE/COMMISSIONER ISSUE DATE FLORES COURT TIME 08:30 **COURTROOM STAFF** ROC 05/11/06 SUM JUDG. DATE DEL CASTELLE EVELYN NAME/DISPATCHER NAME RECEIPT NUMBER
TF 10 TS 29 CASH/BOND AMOUNT .00 POSTED BY BF EXON FSA REIN DEF. ARRAIG. AND DULY ADVISED OF RIGHTS. CHARGES **PLEA PROCEEDINGS** 1 273150 DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND OFFICER DID NOT APPEAR. CASE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION. ADDITIONAL PROCEEDINGS: PRIORS = 01) OFN: MARTENS, G. IMPORTANT: THE BOX(ES) CHECKED (V) BELOW APPLY TO YOU YOUR NEXT COURT DATE IS INE/FEE OF \$_____AT__TRAF_WINDOW ON BEFORE____OR YOU MUST RETURN ON YOUR DUE DATE__AT__8:30_AMAM / PM TO DEPT. PAY YOUR BAIL/FINE/FEE OF \$_ YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY BY US MAIL IF YOU ARE REMITTING YOUR PAYMENT BY MAIL. PAYMENT MUST BE RECEIVED THE DAY BEFORE YOUR NEXT COURT DATE. TERMS OF COURT PROBATION REPORT AS DIRECTED AND FULLOW INSTRUCTIONS OF THE COURT. TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND OBEY ALL LAWS. REPORT ANY CHANGE OF ADDRESS TO THE COURT WITHIN 10 DAYS. DU NOT ORIVE UNLESS LICENSED AND INSURED. INSTALLMENT PAYMENT GRANTED, YOUR TOTAL FINE WORK PROGRAM: TO WORK HOURS/DAYS OF \$____SHALL BE PAID IN____ FOR_ INSTALLMENTS AND UNTIL THE FINE IS FULLY PAID. COMPLETE WORK BY____ RETURN TO DEPT. ON ATTEND: L DDC L BTS L TVS L CVS _____AM/PM. YOU MUST REGISTER AND COMPLETE COURSE WITHIN MONTHS FROM THIS DATE. DATE:_ REPORT IMMEDIATELY TO ___ON FIRST FLOOR 📥 JUDGE/COMMISSIONER OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT-

§127315.

highway shall maintain safety belts in good working order for the use of occupants of the vehicle. The safety belts shall conform to motor vehicle safety standards established by the United States Department of Transportation. This subdivision does not, however, require installation or maintenance of safety belts where not required by the laws of the United States applicable to the vehicle at the time of its initial sale.

(g) This section does not apply to a passenger or operator with a physically disabling condition or medical condition which would prevent appropriate restraint, in a safety, belt, if the condition is duly certified by a licensed physician and surgeon or by a licensed chiropractor who shall state the nature of the condition, as well as the reason the restraint is inappropriate. This section also does not apply to a public employee, when in an authorized emergency vehicle as defined in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 165, or to any passenger in any seat behind the front seat of an authorized emergency, vehicle as defined in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of Section 165 operated by the public employee, unless required by the agency employing the public employee alditemmon that he had a present a second

(h) Notwithstanding, subdivision (a) of Section 42001, any violation of subdivision (d), (e), or (f) is an infraction punishable by a fine of not more than twenty dollars (\$20) for a first offense, and a fine of not more than fifty dollars (\$50) for each, subsequent, offense. In lieu of the fine and any penalty assessment or court costs, the court, pursuant to Section 42005, may order that a person convicted of a first offense attend a school for traffic violators or any other court-approved program in which the proper use of safety belts is demonstrated. La transment "Absider and out" a landing did here so an agreed 191

(i) In a civil action, a violation of subdivision (d), (e), or (f) or information of a violation of subdivision (h) does not establish negligence as a matter of law or negligence per sector comparative fault purposes, but negligence may be proven as a fact without regard to the violation. Agarmana side E. E. A. A. A. A. at (j) If the United States Secretary of Transportation fails to adopt safety standards for manual safety belt systems by September 1, 1989, no motor vehicle manufactured after that date for sale or sold in this state shall be registered unless it contains a manual safety belt system which meets the performance standards applicable to automatic crash protection devices adopted by the Secretary of Transportation pursuant to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208 (49 C.F.R. 571.208) as in effect on January 1, 1985. is (k) Each motor wehicle offered for original sale in this state which has been manufactured on or after September 1, 1989, shall comply with the automatic restraint requirements of Section S4.1.2.1 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208 (49 C.F.R. 571.208), as published in Volume 49 of the Federal Register, No. 138, page 29009. Any automobile manufacturer who sells or delivers a motor vehicle subject to the requirements of this subdivision, and fails to comply with this subdivision, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred dollars (\$500) for each sale or delivery of a noncomplying motor vehicle greezes a od dod villa revo se aga to same of a ser. A co'

il(l) Compliance with subdivision (j) or (k) by a manufacturer shall be made by self-certification in the same manner as self-certification is accomplished under federaldaw. Seither the the thing California Cowalitation under federaldaws.

n (m) This section does not apply to a person actually engaged in delivery of newspapers to customers along the person's route if the person is properly

restrained by a safety be delivery on the route.

(n) This section does n delivery activities as a Service if the person is p the first box and subseq

(o) This section does 1 of solid waste or recycla driver is properly rest subsequent to completing

(p) Subdivisions (d). ately upon the date tha or her delegate, determ Safety Standard No. 20 automatic restraints is shall not become ino Standard No. 208 is no operation of those sub Amended Stats 2003 ch 521

Editor's Notes-Subdivision and (h) may become inope sion of specified regulation b Secretary of Transportatio

Amendments:

2003 Amendment: (1) son" for "No person" at the (d)(1) and (e); (2) substit "shall not" throughout sub "may not" for "shall" in su including all penalty ass costs imposed on the conv both instances of "not mor (5) deleted former subd (i) any violation of subdivisi addition to the fines prov subdivision (h) and the provided for pursuant to Penal Code, an additiona of two dollars (\$2) shall t offense, and an additiona of five dollars (\$5) shall subsequent offense. "All suant to this subdivision accordance with Section Code."; (6) redesignated !

The trial court proper petition for writ of man overturn the suspension because he was driving w alcohol content. An office to stop defendant's vehi vation that defendant w belt. The arresting offic have an intimate kno

italics

THRU THE WINDOW!

THEMOVE THESE MARGINS

CASTELLO EVELYN FRANCES 865 SAN JOSE AVE 2 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94110-5445

NOTICE OF FAILURE TO PAY FINE BRING THIS NOTICE WHEN APPEARING **OR PAYING**

DOCKET #: 14030831 Driv. Lic:

N4741688 Notice Date: 05/31/06

Cite #: 99008WM / 08

DOB: 04/07/53

Issued: 08/13/05

Veh. Lic: 2ZHY298

You have been cited for the following violations:

CVC | 27315D

SEAT BELTS DRIVE

You have failed to pay your fine of \$60.00 on or before 05/10/06 as ordered by the court. If your fine is not received by 06/12/06, you will be subject to an additional assessment of \$250 and it may result in a driver's license suspension by DMV. The increased assessment will be imposed as a civil judgment pursuant to 1214.1 of the Penal Code. This means it will be referred to a Collections Agency. In addition to other means the County may garnish your wages, your spouse's wages or any tax refund due you. This judgment will be in effect for 10 years or until paid.

IMPORTANT: SEND THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR PAYMENT OR BRING IT WITH YOU. DO NOT MAIL CASH. PARTIAL PAYMENTS ARE NOT ACCEPTED. PERSONAL CHECKS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED AFTER THE DUE DATE.

PLEASE MAKE PAYMENT TO:

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA GALE/SCHENONE HALL OF JUSTICE, TRAFFIC DIVISION 5672 STONERIDGE DRIVE N4741688 PLEASANTON, CA 94588-8678 14030831

DIRECTIONS TO THE COURT: The Traffic division is located on the first floor at 5872 Stoneridge Drive, Pleasanton, CA. Public parking is limited; allow adequate time to find legal parking.

FROM I-880: Take the Stoneridge Drive edit and go east. You will cross Hoppard Road and proceed under the cement archways

into Hacienda Business Park. After you pass a second set of archways, the road will make a bend and the Courthouse is the second large two-story building on the right-hand side.

FROM I-580: Take the Hopyard Road exit and go south to the fourth signal which is Stoneridge Drive, make a left turn under the archway into Hacienda Business Park. After you pass under the second set of archways, the road will make a bend and the Courthouse is the second large two-story building on the right-hand side.

Page 141
Filed 09/02/2008 Rage 141
Filed 09/02/2008 Rage 141 Case 4:08-cv-04153 WDB 5672 STONERIDGE DRIVE, PLEASANTON 94588 SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 65PT. 702 SFT GAL DATE 04/04/07 0014030831 DOCKET NUMBER 99008WM CITATION NUMBER JUDGE/COMMISSIONER LABOWITZ ISSUE DATE 08/13/05 COUNTROOM STAFF MENDEZ/FUKUSHIMP COURT TIME ROC 09:00 ZXA CASTELLO EVELYN FRANCES 10/11/07 SUM JUDG, DATE NAME/DISPATOHER NAME FN RECEIPT NUMBER IF 10 TS 29 GASH/BOND AMOUNT L061306LL 919 POSTED BY BF EXON FSA FREIN XE DAR DEF. ARRAIG. AND DULY ADVISED OF RIGHTS LIW CHARGES PLEA **PROCEEDINGS** Temans AMBITIONAL PROCEEDINGS: PRIORS = DENS STEWART, T IMPORTANT: THE BOX(ES) CHECKED (/) BELOW APPLY TO YOU YOUR NEXT COURT BATE IS_ ____ AT______FOR UL/PINE/FEE OF \$ TRAF WINDOW ON BEFORE A* ON PATE AT AM PM TO DEP PAY YOUR AND FINE FEE OF S_ AND THE HESBORIANT FOR DEPORTED AS THE HEALTH TON THE HEALTHING AGAIN BY MENT BY MAIL SAVING THE DAY TERMS OF COURT PROBATION PORT AS OTRECTED TENEDED LEGISTERS THIS TRUCKTON THE FREE COUNCIDED THE FREE AND CONDUCT AND DBEY ALL LAWS. REPORT ANY CHANGE OF ADDRESS TO THE COURT WITHIN TO DAYS. DO NOT DRIVE UNLESS LICENSED AND INSURED. INSTALLMENT PAYMENT GRANTED. YOUR TOTAL FINE WORK PROGRAM: TO WORK _____ OF \$ _____SHALL BE PAID IN _____MONTHLY INSTALLMENTS AND UNTIL THE FINE IS FULLY PAID. COMPLETE WORK BY* RETURN TO DEPT. ON__ DDC BTS TVS CVS ATTEND: YOU MUST REGISTER AND COMPLETE COURSE WITHIN _AM/PM MONTHS FROM THIS DATE. DATE:_ BEPORT IMMEDIATELY TO ______ON FIRST FLOOR JUDGE/COMMISSIONER OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT THE REPORTED A ROPY OF THERE CONDITIONS OF PROBATION, AND I UNDERSTAND AND AGENT and the complete section and the content of the con TENTER BY THE HER COURTS ACTION AND PERMANES

HM NO. 178-651 (HEV. 9/97)

Maurice (1913) 166 Cal 201, 135 P 952, 1913 Cal LEXIS 305; People v. Alexander (1929) 101 Cal App 394, 281 P 697, 1929 Cal App LEXIS 217.

6. Illustrative Cases

The trial court did not err in imposing, as a probation condition, a requirement that defendant, convicted of eight counts of insurance fraud, sign a confession of judgment in favor of the insurer in the amount of its investigation costs. Const Art I § 28(b) and Penal C § 1202.4(f) together require that restitution be ordered in every case in which the defendant's conduct has caused a victim to suffer economic loss. Defendant did not dispute either that the insurer suffered such a loss as a result of his attempted frauds or the amount of that loss, and his challenge that restitution is improper because it is a civil rather than a crimi-

nal remedy was without merit. A restitution order is itself fully enforceable by a victim as if the restitution were a civil judgment, and enforceable in the same manner as is provided for the enforcement of any other money judgment. Accordingly, there is no practical or legal difference between a restitution order and a confession of judgment for the purpose of restitution. While a condition of probation may be stricken if it operates to circumvent a prescribed statutory procedure and thereby nullify a manifest legislative policy, the confession of judgment condition imposed here was consistent with and furthered the strong legislative policy of ensuring full restitution to victims of crimes. People v. Farael (1999, Cal App 1st Dist) 70 Cal App 4th 864, 83 Cal Rptr 2d 16, 1999 Cal App **LEXIS 206.**

§ 1214.1. Civil assessment for failure to appear; Collection

(a) In addition to any other penalty in infraction, misdemeanor, or felony cases, the court may impose a civil assessment of up to three hundred dollars (\$300) against any defendant who fails, after notice and without good cause, to appear in court for any proceeding authorized by law or who fails to pay all or any portion of a fine ordered by the court or to pay an installment of bail as agreed to under Section 40510.5 of the Vehicle Code. This assessment shall be deposited in the Trial Court Trust Fund, as provided in Section 68085.1 of the Government Code.

(b) The assessment shall not become effective until at least 10 calendar days after the court mails a warning notice to the defendant by first-class mail to the address shown on the notice to appear or to the defendant's last known address. If the defendant appears within the time specified in the notice and shows good cause for the failure to appear or for the failure to pay a fine or installment of bail, the court

shall vacate the assessment.

(c) If a civil assessment is imposed under this section, no bench warrant or warrant of arrest shall be issued with respect to the failure to appear at the proceeding for which the assessment is imposed or the failure to pay the fine or installment of bail. An outstanding, unserved bench warrant or warrant of arrest for a failure to appear or for a failure to pay a fine or installment of bail shall be recalled prior to the subsequent imposition of a civil assessment.

(d) The assessment imposed under subdivision (a) shall be subject to the due process requirements governing defense and collection of civil money judgments

(e) Each court and county shall maintain the collection program that was in effect on July 1, 2005, unless otherwise agreed to by the court and county. If a court and a county do not agree on a plan for the collection of civil assessments imposed pursuant to this section, or any other collections under Section 1463.010, after the implementation of Sections 68085.6 and 68085.7 of the Government Code, the court or the county may request arbitration by a third party mutually agreed upon by the Administrative Director of the Courts and the California State Association of

Added Stats 1985 ch 979 § 1. Amended Stats 1996 ch 217 § 1 (AB 1346), effective July 22, 1996; Stats 2005 ch 74 § 59 (AB 139), effective July 19, 2005, ch 705 § 7 (SB 67), effective October 7, 2005, ch 706 § 36 (AB 1742), effective January 1, 2006; Stats 2007 ch 738 § 41 (AB 1248), effective January 1, 2008.

Amendments:

1996 Amendment: (1) Amended subd (a) by substituting (a) "infraction, misdemeanor, or felony" for "criminal"; and (b) "and without good cause, to appear in court for any proceeding authorized by law or who fails to pay all or any portion of a fine ordered by the court" for ", and without good cause to appear in court for any proceeding authorized by law"; (2) added "or for the failure to pay a

fine" in subd (b); and (3) substituted subd (c) for former subd (c) which read: "(c) If an assessment is imposed under this section, no bench warrant or warrant of arrest shall be issued with respect to the failure to appear at the proceeding for which the assessment is imposed."

2005 Amendment (ch 74): (1) Amended subd (a) by (a) substituting "three hundred dollars (\$300)" for "two hundred fifty dollars (\$250); and

(b) a subd 20 othe. secoi subd prov: tive : mone pract into: Offic requi and 1 unde ernm mont shall Cour

149

§ 12 (a a cor prob judg (b prob

(1 sam (2)man (c)

exect Addec

Addec Janua projec

§ 12

§ 12

(a) (\$50)condi to pa rate (b)⊦

(2) insta insta Added

date

§ 12] prob ficer

the do by hi court

Filed 09

CONVICTOR

INTRODUCTION

This case regards my constitutional rights being denied in a courtroom in Pleasanten Superior 2005 and since - literally as a result of Abuse of Judicial Discretion. It is a serious set of proceedings that were abusive and I am sure I am not that meaning court's only victim. Something needs to be done regarding these judges. Some people do not have time to ge ence to achieve a hearing (Standing in line for 2 hours before 8 A.M. to be the first 50) and then have to return for the hearing, itself - or the money to hire a lawyer (Lawyer's Referral at \$35 or so thanks to the Bar Assec.). Some pay though they are innecent - because their heurly worth is presumably mere than the hours I have spent seeking justice.

BACKGROUNDE

PLEASE CONSIDER the background because since 2005 the Pleasanten's Court abuse and its record in the computer led to further abuse by judges in Mayward and Alameda (Writs currently in appeal). I am still seeking justice regarding this unconstitutional conspiring. The initial citations resulted as of 2 Pleasanten sheriffs stepping me with @ "No Probable Cause" - Then in Hayward and lastly, Alameda 2006. By then, I was involved in qualifying for 2006 Congressional primary and other professional, fraternal and health/Athletic endeavers - se I sent\$60 in until I had time to file a "Correction of Judgement" (Page 9 Attached). I had to call the State Attorney General's effice by July 2006 - at which time I was advised to call an administrative shoriff in Oakland te step the " Ne Prebable Cause" steps. I also complained to the Pleasanten Sheriff's leader and had a fermal State complaint

Filed 09/02/2008/0 Page 14 of 15

taped by the Dept. of Internal Affairs. It stepped - So. I centinued my work. After 2300 plus vetes received in June primary - I had to initiate a case with the Federal Election Commission that closed April 2007 regarding my opponent and 2 velunteer groups breaking Federal Election Law - We have had 2 chairmans since and the State Party had to have workshops about this lack of campaign etiquette - I'm currently only running for a County Seat (3rd Try) - They raised money for another weman - at least with the integrity that I have. WRIT FILED NOVEMBER 26,2007 in Oakland Superior denied January 11, 2008 (Page 5) is attached to copy of original writ (Pages 6-14). The rulings I am seeking relief from were attached Page . I did not wait 7 months as I been thus far explaining. I decided to FEEREN this and other cases to achieve more justice in this state including Supreme Court Case #S162541(All 7981) currently in Region Review. I was within the statutes of limitations upon filing this writ. which saw includes Abase of Judicial Discretion and other uncenstituienal preceedings mentioned in my Points & Authorities, Page | . This is not an appeal Of the Correction of Judgement - It is a new and timely wiri writ.

APPERIME, EVE DEL CASTELLO, is currently asking for review of lst Appellane's Denial 3/20/08 attached (Page _____). The case used was not applicable - It involved a rental squabble and money and was an excuse to further harrass was a defendant and was not necessary and therefore dismissed. This writ, currently in review regards a timely and appellable Unlimited Civil set of matters.

ed 09/02/20/18/14/3/1/16/01/5

CONCLUSION

May I also add that I am in clear recellection of that first hearing when Judge Mendrickson mentioned political excuse of the state legislature (Perhaps unaware individually of constitutional law) - This did not justify her right to ignore my right to Common Law (considering the matter had been dismissed in its entirety without charge or cost right in her courtroom and previously dismissed In a courtroom in S.F.

When trying to file a "Gorrection of Judgement@- I also clearly remember @ "Labowitz" and his court. It took quite a while to obtain the April hearing - the Clerks were not aware of that type of appeal and when I appeared in April - I was told I did not have to remain for that Appeal and then, it was unjustly denied. (By Mail).

Please consider that I am still being unl awfully charged and billed and either license or arrest threatened over a non-infraction - Lending the law enforcement a computer excuse for further misconduct/stepping - The billing remaining in the other 2 courts (I am currently also suing).